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Preface

The Humanitarian Law Center (HLC) has been monitoring and providing support to war crimes trials since the very first war crimes proceedings were conducted in Serbia in 2002. The HLC is the only organisation that has been continuously monitoring and analysing war crimes trials in Serbia and informing the public at home and abroad about them. The HLC has been filing criminal complaints against suspected perpetrators with the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutors (OWCP), and it has also been identifying witnesses and victims and encouraging them to give evidence in court, and thus contribute to achieving justice for past crimes.

The *National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes* (hereinafter: the National Strategy) was adopted in February 2016. The HLC is the only non-governmental organisation in Serbia that monitors and reports on its implementation, with a view to assisting in a qualitative and quantitative assessment of the state of implementation of measures and activities set forth in the National Strategy.


As shown by the findings presented in this fifth report, no progress in war crimes prosecutions can be reported for the 44 months since the adoption of the National Strategy. The prosecutorial activities are continuing to show a downward trend. Namely, out of the total of 23 indictments that have been filed since the adoption of the National Strategy, 19 were transferred to the OWCP from B&H. War crimes trials continue to be lengthy, the procedural rights of victims have not been strengthened, and the number of persons identified as missing has been decreasing slower than expected. As regards the attitudes towards war crimes and war crimes trials in Serbia, the past several months have been marked by the continued public promotion of convicted war criminals.

Introduction

On 20 February 2016, the Government of the Republic of Serbia adopted the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes 2016-2020, which detailed a set of activities to help achieve the common objective of improving the prosecution of war crimes in Serbia.

Determining individual criminal responsibility for war crimes committed during the 1990s is one of the formal conditions Serbia has to meet in order to join the EU. As a direct response to the recommendations made by the European Commission in its Screening Report on Chapter 23, Serbia has adopted the Action Plan for Chapter 23.


relating to judicial reform and fundamental rights, and also to war crimes.5 The Action Plan in section 1.4. War Crimes, lays down a set of activities to be undertaken by all government authorities responsible for war crimes prosecution.

Revision of the Action Plan for Chapter 23

The Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Serbia in 2018 initiated the process of revising the Action Plan for Chapter 23. After civil society institutions and other stakeholders in February 2019 submitted their suggestions and comments on the first draft of the Revised Action Plan for Chapter 23 (the first draft),6 the document was modified and submitted to the European Commission (EC) for opinion and comments.7 The EC submitted its comments on the first draft during the reporting period.8

In its general comments on Chapter 23, the EC stated, among other things, that their comments do not include their views on the fulfilment of activities from the original Action Plan,9 and that, in other words, the absence of comments on deleted activities from the original Action Plan should not be read as their endorsement of the action being successfully completed.10 As regards war crimes, the EC underlined that recommendations from peer review missions that are in the scope of the Action

---

5 Report on the degree of alignment of Serbian legislation with the EU acquis (Screening Report) is available online on the Ministry of Justice official website at: https://www.mpravde.gov.rs/files/Screening-report-chapter-23-serbia%20Official%20(3).pdf [accessed on 6 November 2019].

6 See: First draft of the Revised Action Plan for Chapter 23, on the official website of the Ministry of Justice at: https://www.mpravde.gov.rs/tekst/22159/prvi-nacrt-revidiranog-akcionog-plana-za-poglavlje-23.php [accessed on 8 November 2019]; Comments of the Humanitarian Law Center (HLC) on the first draft of the Revised Action Plan for Chapter 23 HlcIndexOut: 25-F136701, 8 February 2019. The HLC submitted a total of 22 comments on the first draft. Some of them concerned the activities that the first draft planned to delete, some proposed a revision of certain existing activities, and some proposed adding new activities to the Action Plan. Of the 22 comments submitted, 15 (or 68%) have been accepted.


8 EU Comments Chapter 23 Judiciary, pp. 146-174.

9 Chapeau comment for the draft Action Plans for Chapters 23 and 24, p. 2.

10 Ibid.
Plan should be taken into account, making a specific reference to the peer reviews on war crimes.\(^{11}\)

As regards the EC comments about the judiciary, this report will focus on those concerning war crimes.\(^{12}\) Particularly relevant in this respect is the comment which states that as the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes is due to expire in 2020, the drafting of a follow-up strategy or extending the period of implementation of the current one should be foreseen.\(^{13}\) Another important suggestion by the EC concerns the deletion of the sub-activities related to the drafting, public consultation, and implementation of the National Strategy. Since these activities have already been implemented, the EC suggests the monitoring of the effects of the implementation of the National Strategy as being the next step.\(^{14}\)

With respect to the activity involving the establishment of a system of trainings and education in international humanitarian law, the EC suggests that this system should be funded from the budget of Serbia.\(^{15}\) As regards the reports which the OWCP is to prepare to indicate whether or not all war crimes allegations have been adequately investigated, and which, according to the current version of the draft Action Plan for Chapter 23, are to be released once a year,\(^{16}\) the EC suggests adding a number of criteria to ensure that these

\(^{11}\) Ibid, p. 3. A candidate country may request legal assistance under the TAIEX (Technical Assistance and Information Exchange instrument), which results in reports on the alignment of specific national laws and policies with EU standards. Missions made up of experts from EU Member States (peer-review missions) report on the functioning of specific sectors on the basis of an in-depth analysis. These reports are not public (as cited in Why Do We Need the Priebe Report As Well? How to Reverse the Trend of State Capture in the Western Balkans, Jelena Pejić, Sonja Stojanović Gajić, Belgrade Center for Security Policy, Belgrade, November 2018, p. 9, available at: http://www.preugovor.org/Policy-Papers/1482/Why-Do-We-Need-the-Priebe-Report-As-Well.shtml); see also: Guidance Note on the Organisation of Rule of Law Peer-Review Missions, European Commission, 15 July 2014, p. 4, available at: https://ec.europa.eu/neighbourhood-enlargement/sites/neighborhood/files/taiex-peer_review_0.pdf [all sources accessed on: 25 November 2019.]

\(^{12}\) War crimes trials are part of the Judiciary section of the Action Plan for Chapter 23.

\(^{13}\) EU Comments Chapter 23 Judiciary, p. 146, Activity 1.4.1.1.

\(^{14}\) Ibid.


\(^{16}\) Revised Action Plan for Chapter 23, June 2019, Judiciary, p. 154, Activity 1.4.1.5.
reports are operational. This would include setting a timeframe within which all cases that are at investigation and pre-investigation stages are to be investigated.17

Even though the Ministry of Justice announced on its official website that it would launch a public consultation on the latest version of the draft revised Action Plan for Chapter 23 (modified on the basis of comments received from civil society organisations), the consultation process had not been opened by the end of the reporting period.18 It should be underlined here that the ongoing revision of the Action Plan for Chapter 23 does not affect the implementation of measures and activities foreseen in the National Strategy. Following the guidance provided in the Action Plan, the National Strategy sets forth the activities that have to be undertaken to improve the efficiency of war crimes prosecutions. Implementation of the National Strategy is not supposed to stagnate on account of the ongoing revision of the Action Plan.

In parallel with the Working Body for Monitoring and Reporting on the Implementation of the National Strategy (hereinafter: the Working Body), the HLC monitors the implementation of the National Strategy with a view to offering its findings and an independent assessment of the state of implementation of the National Strategy in the form of shadow reports.19

This is the fifth report on the implementation of the National Strategy produced by the HLC. It features a brief overview of the current state of play, and covers the period from 1 June to 1 December 2019.

Methodology

The information used in preparing this report has been drawn from three main sources. The first source comprised the reports of governmental and international bodies, including the reports of the Working Body and the reports of the Chief Prosecutor and the President of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals submitted to the U.N. Security Council.20 The second source included the information gathered through requests

---

17 EU Comments Chapter 23 Judiciary, p. 155, Activity 1.4.1.5.
20 The reports of the Chief Prosecutor and President of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals (IRMCT) to the U.N. Security Council are available at: http://www.irmct.org/en/basic-documents/reports [accessed on 6 November 2019].
for access to information of public importance from the authorities identified in the National
Strategy as responsible for carrying out the activities set forth in the National Strategy. And
finally, since the HLC monitors all war crimes trials conducted by the Higher Court in
Belgrade and the Court of Appeals in Belgrade, the HLC’s war crimes trials monitoring
reports provided the third source of information for the present report.21

**Obstacles faced by the HLC in compiling the Report on the**
**Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes**

In preparing this report, the HLC found itself confronted with a startling paucity of
information regarding the state of implementation of the National Strategy.

One obstacle to gathering information was the fact that the Working Body mandated
to monitor and report on National Strategy implementation was only established in
August 2017, a year and a half later than planned. As a consequence, its reports had been
continuously falling behind schedule.

By the time the HLC had finalised its work on this report, the Working Body had
released six reports, which, in combination, cover the period from 20 February 2016
to 31 March 2019.22 The sixth and latest Working Body report was released on 19
June 2019.23 The Working Body’s reports, besides arriving late, have, for the most part,
contained insufficient information, and their findings have often not corresponded with the activities as they were described in the National Strategy. This makes them difficult to read and understand, even for the professional community closely following domestic war crimes trials.

As the process of gathering information for a report also entails requesting information from the competent authorities under the Law of Free Access to Information of Public Importance, their restrictive interpretation of their obligation to supply information also posed a barrier to access to information. The Ministry of Justice, for instance, in response to several of the HLC’s enquiries directly concerning National Strategy implementation, merely stated that “they had no information”, or “they had no documents about activities”, or “they had no documents available”, or “they had no documents.”

The Ministry of the Interior, for its part, replied to a request for information made on 22 May 2019 as late as 6 August 2019, after the *Fourth Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy*, for which the information had been needed, had already been released and presented to the public.

An almost complete absence of coverage of National Strategy implementation by the Serbian press, and the absence of outreach activities by the institutions responsible for carrying out activities foreseen in the strategy, were among the reasons why the information about the National Strategy implementation was hard to find. Beside of that,

---


24 Ministry of Justice’s reply to an HLC request for access to information of public importance of 15 November 2019 (HLC incoming mail register: HLCIndexIn: 25-F139822, 19 November 2019). The request included, among other things, the following queries: Have any activities been undertaken with a view to organising regional prosecutorial conferences?; When will amendments to relevant laws and regulations be made in order to bring the definition of the term “victim” into conformity with the definitions provided in international human rights treaties, and to effectively apply minimum standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime/injured parties enshrined in Directive 2012/29/EU?

25 Request for access to information of public importance (HLCIndexIn: 170-F137822) of 22 May 2019.

26 Ministry of the Interior’s reply (02/4 no. 072/1-483/19-4) of 6 August 2019 to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.

the official websites of the competent institutions only offer scanty information when it comes to National Strategy implementation progress.

During the preparation of this report, unlike the previous ones, the quarterly reports on the implementation of the Action Plan for Chapter 23 were not consulted. This is because there have not been any. The last quarterly report was published in July 2018.\textsuperscript{28} No regular quarterly reports have been published since, but only the Semi-annual Report of the Negotiating Group for Chapter 23, covering the third and fourth quarter of 2018.\textsuperscript{29}

As a result of the above-described situation, where information about the progress of National Strategy implementation is becoming increasingly unavailable and difficult to access, preparation of a shadow report is becoming increasingly difficult. This undermines the transparency of the implementation of the strategy as a document of national importance, while reducing the room for critical appraisal and assessment, qualitative and quantitative, of the implementation of measures and activities set forth in the strategy.

Improving society’s attitude towards war crimes trials i.e. providing easier access to information on war crimes trials\textsuperscript{30}, is one of the objectives of the National Strategy. However, the above-described difficulties faced in monitoring National Strategy implementation show that the competent institutions have not come any closer to achieving it.

The HLC reiterates that this objective cannot be achieved without the work of the competent authorities being more transparent, and more meaningful communication being achieved between them and civil society members.


I. Case prioritisation based on the criteria laid down in the Prosecutorial Strategy

The Prosecutorial Strategy for Investigation and Prosecution of War Crimes 2018-2023, (Prosecutorial Strategy) was adopted on 4 April 2018.\(^\text{31}\)

The previous HLC reports on the implementation of the National Strategy pinpointed the major shortcoming of the Prosecutorial Strategy – the absence of clear criteria for the OWCP to apply in prioritising war crimes cases for prosecution in the coming period.\(^\text{32}\)

Because there are no criteria against which to evaluate case prosecution, it is not possible to track the progress of the implementation of the Prosecutorial Strategy.

By looking at the indictments filed during the reporting period, the HLC can only repeat its finding from previous reports, that the OWCP is continuing the practice of filing indictments only in the less complex cases. Both the indictments filed and confirmed between 1 June and 1 December 2019, that concern cases involving only one low-ranking suspect, show that this is the case.\(^\text{33}\)

Besides, as in the previous reporting periods, the case prosecution is largely based on indictments that have been transferred to the OWCP from B&H, as evidenced by the fact that one of the two indictments filed by the OWCP in this reporting period is based on a case transferred from B&H.

---


\(^{33}\) The indictment against *Predrag Vuković and the indictment in the Kalinovik Case. *The case against Predrag Vuković has been merged with the Ćuška Case.
II. Increase in the number of indictments in relation to the number of investigations

The OWCP raised two indictments for war crimes against two individuals\(^{34}\), and issued one order to conduct an investigation over the reporting period.\(^{35}\) There was a total of 2,557 cases at the pre-investigation stage and 15 cases at the investigation stage.\(^{36}\) The OWCP decided to work on 2,030 cases which it had taken over from prosecutors’ offices of general jurisdiction.\(^{37}\)

Given such a high number of cases at investigation and pre-investigation stages, and bearing in mind that over the last six months only two indictments have been filed, no increase in the number of indictments in relation to the number of investigations can be reported for the last six months.

III. Increase in the number of proceedings resulting in a final judgment in relation to the number of indictments

 Trials in 19 cases\(^{38}\) were held over the reporting period. As outlined above, two indictments were raised in the same period.\(^{39}\) The Higher Court in Belgrade handed down

---

\(^{34}\) See sub-section Indictments in section Cases on the official website of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor at: https://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/en/cases/indictments [accessed on 5 December 2019].


\(^{36}\) OWCP’s reply (PI.br. 29/19, of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for information of public importance.

\(^{37}\) Ibid. The latest report of the Working Body states that all pending war crimes cases of the domestic courts of general jurisdiction have been registered and taken over, see: Report No. 6 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, June 2019, p. 6.

\(^{38}\) Lovas, Ćuška, Bratunac, Bratunac II, Srebrenica – Kravica, Bosanska Krupa, Kluč Kamičak, Sanski Most – Lišci Palanka, Kluč – Režovići, Bosanska Krupa II, Štrpci, Doboj Kožuhe, Bogdanovci, Brčko, Brčko II, Kluč Velagići, Sarajevo Hrasnica, Zvornik-Standard, and Trnje. See: List of war crimes cases that have been prosecuted or are being prosecuted before domestic courts at: http://www.hlc-rdc.org/?cat=234 [accessed on 22 November 2019].

five first-instance rulings in war crimes cases. The War Crimes Department of the Court of Appeal in Belgrade issued four judgments.

As already pointed out in the previous reports, the increase in the number of indictments resulting in final judgements indicator does not accurately capture the degree of efficiency of the work of the authorities responsible for war crimes prosecution.

IV. Shorter average duration of war crimes proceedings

There were 43 days of trial for all ongoing war crimes cases during the reporting period, with 13 trial days pushed back for various reasons. Trial hearings within first instance proceedings are, on average, scheduled once a month.

When it comes to this indicator, the findings presented in this report show that no results have been achieved that would indicate significant improvement in these statistics.

---


43 Ibid.
V. Positive evaluation by the European Commission on the alignment of the system of protection of and support to victims and witnesses in the Republic of Serbia with European Union standards

The latest available report of the European Commission on Serbia's Progress (presented in May 2019) notes that “concerning procedural rights, the legal framework remains only partially aligned with the acquis.”

The report further states that “with respect to the support provided to and the protection of witnesses, there is a delay in adopting amendments related to the implementation of urgent measures for witness protection”, and that “there is a further delay in implementing most of the recommendations of the analysis of the Witness Protection Unit carried out in 2016.”

“Steps already taken to increase the capacity of the Witness Protection Unit within the Ministry of the Interior, along with new rules and procedures for selecting staff, are expected to improve its work”, the report concludes.

The report also notes that a new Law on Free Legal Aid was adopted in November 2018, to be implemented as of October 2019, and that the accessibility of free legal aid to the most vulnerable groups should be monitored.

With respect to the National Strategy on the Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Crime, the report notes that it is in course of being prepared.

---


45 Ibid.

46 Law on Free Legal Aid (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia no. 87/2019), available (in Serbian) at: https://www.paragraf.rs/propisi/zakon-o-besplatnoj-pravnoj-pomoci.html [accessed on 13 November 2019].

VI. Decrease in the number of missing persons whose fate has not been clarified

According to data obtained from the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), as of November 2019, 10,090 of the individuals who went missing from the armed conflicts in Croatia, B&H and Kosovo are still unaccounted for.\textsuperscript{48} In November 2018 their number stood at 10,261, according to the same source.\textsuperscript{49}

Judging by the rate at which the numbers of missing persons are decreasing, it can be concluded that the National Strategy has failed to bring about significant improvement with respect to efficiency in tracing missing persons.

In its previous reports, the HLC has already pinpointed the main causes of the ineffectiveness of the search for missing persons, namely: the lack of a firm political will to make the search for missing persons more effective; the inadequate capacity for conducting the search; the lack of action on the part of the prosecuting authorities in the search for missing persons and in the prosecution of those responsible for their disappearance; and impeded access to archives held by state authorities.\textsuperscript{50}

VII. Increase in the number of cases initiated and finally resolved as a result of regional judicial cooperation

Almost all the cases that have been opened since the adoption of the National Strategy are a product of regional judicial cooperation. At least 19 of the 23 indictments that have been raised since the adoption of the National Strategy have not been the result of the OWCP’s own investigation but transferred to the OWCP from B&H.\textsuperscript{51} As in previous

\textsuperscript{48} International Committee of the Red Cross data, HlcIndexIn: 25-F140061, 9 December 2019.
\textsuperscript{49} International Committee of the Red Cross data, HlcIndexIn: 25-F134245, 13 June 2018.
reporting periods, the OWCP has prosecuted only the simpler cases, as is evidenced by its last two indictments which charge just two suspects.

The overwhelming number of war crimes cases not yet prosecuted indicates that there is still plenty of room for improvement in regional judicial cooperation. Moreover, the absence of cases against high-level suspects among the cases shared through regional cooperation suggests there is a lack of trust between prosecutors’ offices in the region. The HLC therefore believes that this indicator is not capable of reflecting the quality of the cases transferred or of the regional judicial cooperation itself.

(For more information about regional judicial cooperation, see section Regional and International Cooperation further below).

VIII. Reports of the Chief Prosecutor and President of the ICTY to the U.N. Security Council

In their latest reports and addresses to the U.N. Security Council in July 2019, both the Chief Prosecutor and President of the IRMCT expressed concern over the denial of crimes and glorification of convicted war criminals, adding that, since the closure of the ICTY the domestic courts have been entrusted with processing war crimes.

Chief IRMCT Prosecutor Serge Brammertz noted that the anniversary of the Srebrenica genocide was again used by some to deny it, adding that “a government minister called

52 After the closure of the ICTY on 31 December 2017, the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals (IRMCT) assumed a number of its functions, including: conducting and finalising all appeals proceedings, review proceedings, retrials, trials for contempt and false testimony, protection of victims and witnesses, supervision of sentence enforcement, assistance to national judiciaries, monitoring of cases referred by the ICTY to national courts, and preservation and management of archives. For more information on the mandate of the IRMCT see the official website of the IRMCT at: http://www.irmct.org/en/about/functions [accessed on 21 November 2019].
the Srebrenica genocide false, while a Member of Parliament congratulated Ratko Mladic for the genocide, which he said was a brilliant military operation.”\(^{53}\)

With respect to efforts to achieve more justice for more victims, Prosecutor Brammertz said that in the former Yugoslavia, thousands of cases still need to be processed by the national courts.\(^{54}\)

Judge Carmel Agius, President of the IRMCT, underlined that “all those who are committed to the rule of law have a vital part to play in the fight against impunity - and particularly now, when there is a resurgence in genocide denial and revisionism in both the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda. This includes by defending judicial processes and pronouncements, and speaking out against those who try to distort the truth as established by international and domestic courts [...] they must be reminded that the domestic courts have been entrusted with continuing to carry out these functions, and not politicians or individuals.”\(^{55}\)

(For more information see the sections discussing cooperation with the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia and improving society’s attitude towards war crimes trials further below.)

### IX. Positive reports from other relevant governmental and non-governmental organisations

As during the reporting period no governmental or non-governmental organisation has published a report assessing war crimes trials or addressing these subjects, the HLC refers


readers to the findings made by Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch and the U.S Department of State, which were presented in the previous HLC report, published in July 2019.56

Areas Covered by the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes

The National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes is organised around eight areas of intervention. For the objectives in each area, the activities that need to be carried out and the time frames for their implementation are defined. The eight areas are as follows:

1. Increasing efficiency of war crimes proceedings conducted before the judicial institutions of the Republic of Serbia;
2. Protection of witnesses and victims;
3. Support to witnesses and victims;
4. Defence of the accused;
5. War crimes and the issue of missing persons;
6. Cooperation with the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia;
7. Regional and international cooperation;
8. Improvement in societal attitudes towards the issue of war crimes trials.

In the following pages, the current situation in each of the above-listed areas will be discussed and key shortcomings identified.

INCREASING EFFICIENCY OF THE WAR CRIMES PROCEEDINGS

1. INVESTIGATION AND INDICTMENTS


Objective 2: The Office of War Crimes Prosecutor will have accurate records of the events that may be qualified as war crimes, and records on unresolved cases, to be used, on the basis of clearly defined criteria, for the prioritisation of cases pending and development of a five-year plan for case processing.

Objective 3: The Office of War Crimes Prosecutor applies the measures to increase its working efficiency.

Objective 4: Enhanced capacity of the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor.

Objective 5: Improved status and efficiency of the War Crimes Investigation Service.

With respect to the implementation of the measures to improve the efficiency of the OWCP's work, the OWCP did not conclude any plea agreement or issue any order for the seizure or confiscation of proceeds from crime during the reporting period.57

Aside from the continuing participation of OWCP members in professional development programmes and expert meetings, no further strengthening of the OWCP capacity can be reported for the last six months.58

57 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 29/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.

58 Note: There were training courses for deputy prosecutors and prosecutorial assistants at the OWCP during previous years as well. This finding refers to the training courses delivered in the context of implementation of the activities set forth in the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes. See the section of this report which discusses strengthening the capacity of the OWCP (pp. 24-25); OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
Implementation of the Prosecutorial Strategy for the Investigation and Prosecution of War Crimes

As the HLC has already pointed out in its *Comments on the Draft Prosecutorial Strategy*[^59], and previous reports on the implementation of the National Strategy[^60], the Prosecutorial Strategy has a number of methodological flaws.[^61] As from the text of the Prosecutorial Strategy it is impossible to precisely identify which activities the OWCP has to carry out, and the time limits within which they must be completed, there are no criteria against which to assess whether or not a prosecution is being conducted in accordance with the Strategy.

On top of that, the absence of key performance indicators from the Strategy – both quantitative (e.g. number of convictions, number of indictments raised against high-ranking suspects, number of indictments in cases involving a higher number of victims), and qualitative (e.g. enhanced regional judicial cooperation) - makes the monitoring and evaluation of the state of implementation of the Prosecutorial Strategy virtually impossible. Nonetheless, judging by the indictments raised in the reporting period and the complexity of cases selected for prosecution, it may be concluded that the OWCP has continued its practice of bringing indictments only in the less complex cases.

Keeping records of acts that may qualify as war crimes and records of unresolved cases

According to the latest available report of the Working Body and the MoI’s reply to an HLC request for information of public importance concerning this matter, the WCIS has delivered to the OWCP the material/records on individual and mass crimes committed during the armed conflicts in the territory of the former Yugoslavia, and the updating of the material is still in progress.[^62] This was the only information available about this activity.

[^62]: *Report No. 6 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes,* June 2019, p. 4; Ministry of the Interior’s reply (02/4 no. 072/1-483/19-4 of 06 August 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.
Taking measures to improve the efficiency of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor

The first measure envisaged in the National Strategy that is expected to improve the efficiency of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor stipulates that the OWCP should use its existing capacity in line with the priorities defined in the Prosecutorial Strategy. But as the Prosecutorial Strategy does not establish clear criteria that could guide the OWCP in prioritising war crimes cases for prosecution in the coming period, it is not possible to monitor and measure the increase in the efficiency of the OWCP's work against this parameter.

As regards other measures envisaged in the National Strategy, the OWCP did not conclude any plea agreement or issue any order for the seizure or confiscation of proceeds from crime during the reporting period.

Strengthening the capacity of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor

Over the last six months, the OWCP has not hired any additional deputy war crimes prosecutors or prosecutorial assistants. As in the preceding reporting period, there are nine deputy war crimes prosecutors, besides the prosecutor for war crimes, as well as eight prosecutorial assistants in the OWCP.

The HLC notes that the OWCP should have 11 deputy war crimes prosecutors, as required by a decision of the State Prosecutorial Council which entered into force in early September 2018.

66 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 29/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.
68 OWCP’s reply (PI.br. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 3.
Continuing training

During the reporting period, deputy war crimes prosecutors and prosecutorial assistants continued undergoing professional development training courses. One deputy war crimes prosecutor attended the conference titled “Pritvor – regionalna krivična zakonodavstva, iskustva u primen i mere unapredenja” [Pre-trial detention – criminal legislation in countries in the region, their experiences with application of pre-trial detention, and measures for improvement]. Another deputy war crimes prosecutor participated in the 59th regular annual symposium of the Serbian Association for Criminal Law and Practice held in November.70

Three deputy war crimes prosecutors made a study visit to the IRMCT and the International Criminal Tribunal in The Hague in early September. One prosecutorial assistant attended an investigator course organised by the Institute for International Criminal Investigation from The Hague.71 The study visit and participation in the course were organised as part of the project “Support to Monitoring of National War Crimes Trials” (Phase II), led by the OSCE Mission to Serbia.72

Furthermore, the War Crimes Prosecutor and two deputy war crimes prosecutors participated in a regional meeting of judges, prosecutors and attorneys dealing with war crimes cases. The topic of the meeting was “Mutual admissibility of evidence in the countries and jurisdictions in the region, and admissibility of IRMCT evidence”.73 The meeting was organised jointly by the UNDP and the OSCE Mission to Serbia.

Improving the position and efficiency of the War Crimes Investigation Service (WCIS)

In early February 2018, the War Crimes Investigation Service moved to larger quarters, with adequate working space and storage space for case files in paper form, as indicated in the MoI’s reply to the relevant HLC request for information. The MoI also stated in the

---

70 OWCP’s reply (PI no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
71 Ibid.
72 Ibid.
73 Ibid.
reply that there was no need for further measures in that respect, because with the new premises the optimal position and capacity of the WCIS had been ensured.74

Given that the Working Body has not published any report on National Strategy implementation since 19 June 2019, and that no reports were published on the implementation of the Action Plan for Chapter 23 during the reporting period, the only information available regarding this particular activity is that obtained in the late MoI’s reply to a request from information that the HLC made when preparing the previous (fourth) report.75

2. TRIALS

Objective 1: Improved efficiency of trials for war crimes, by ensuring continuity in the composition of the judicial chambers.

Objective 2: Harmonised jurisprudence of all war crimes courts and chambers in former Yugoslavia, through the establishment of a regional database.

Objective 3: Improved conditions in courtrooms where war crimes trials are conducted.

Objective 4: Continuous improvement of expertise of the holders of judicial office and staff engaged in war crimes cases.

There were no changes in the composition of the chambers of the War Crimes Department of the Higher Court in Belgrade.76 Over the last six months, judges of the War Crimes Departments of the Higher Court and the Court of Appeals in Belgrade have continued attending continuous training programmes.77

74 Ministry of the Interior’s reply (02/4 no. 072/1-483/19-4, of 06 August 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.

75 Request for access to information of public importance (HLCIndexIn: 170-F137822), of 22 May 2019; Ministry of the Interior’s reply to (02/4 no. 072/1-483/19-4, of 06 August 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.


77 Note: Trainings were provided for judges and judicial assistants of the War Crimes Departments of the Court of Appeal in Belgrade and the Higher Court in Belgrade during previous years as well. This finding refers to the training courses delivered in the context of implementation of the activities set forth in the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes.
Improving efficiency of trials for war crimes, by ensuring continuity in the composition of the judicial chambers

Since the National Strategy was adopted, there have been no instances of judges being transferred between departments before the expiry of their six-year term. In view of the fact that such transfers did take place in the past, the continuity in the composition of judicial chambers is a positive development.

In November 2019, Judge Siniša Važić retired from the bench upon reaching the mandatory retirement age.

Harmonisation of case law among all Courts and War Crimes Departments in former Yugoslavia through the establishment of a regional war crimes cases database

In response to a HLC enquiry about the establishment of a regional war crimes cases database, the OWCP stated as follows: In September 2018, within the framework of the fourth regional consultations held under the project “Enhancing Regional Cooperation in the Processing of War Crimes and the Search for Missing Persons (2017-2019)”, implemented with the support of the UNDP, Serbia’s War Crimes Prosecutor initiated the establishment of a common regional database of war crimes cases that have been opened as a result of regional judicial cooperation.

As the OWCP said exactly the same thing when asked about this matter during the preparation of the Third Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, and as no further and more detailed information is available.

---


79 "Apelacioni sud u Beogradu nastavlja svoj rad bez četvoro sudija kojima je prestala sudijska funkcija usled navršenog radnog veka" [Court of Appeal in Belgrade continues its work without four judges who have retired from the bench], press release, 28 November 2019, available on the official website of the Court of Appeal in Belgrade at: http://www.bg.ap.sud.rs/lt/articles/sluzba-za-odnose-sa-javnoscu/vesti-i-saopstenja/ [accessed on 28 November 2019].

80 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.

81 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 25/18 of 5 November 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
regarding this activity, it may be inferred that no progress has been made over the last year towards establishing the database.\(^{82}\)

**Continuing improvement of expertise of judicial office holders and staff engaged in war crimes cases**

Judges of the War Crimes Department of the Higher Court in Belgrade also took part in the study visit to the IRMCT and the International Criminal Tribunal in The Hague.\(^{83}\) The visit was organised as part of the project “Support to Monitoring of National War Crimes Trials” (Phase II), implemented by the OSCE Mission to Serbia.\(^{84}\)

In addition, the judges handling war crimes cases participated in the meeting “Mutual admissibility of evidence in the countries and jurisdictions in the region, and admissibility of IRMCT evidence”\(^{85}\) The meeting was organised jointly by the UNDP and the OSCE Mission to Serbia.\(^{86}\)

---


83 According to Humanitarian Law Centre information.

84 The information about who organised the study visit is found on page 2 of OWCP’s reply PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019 to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.


PROTECTION OF WITNESSES AND VICTIMS

Objective 1: Improved normative framework for effective functioning of the witness protection system in war crimes proceedings in Serbia.

Objective 2: Enhanced institutional capacity for witness protection in war crimes proceedings.

Objective 3: Improved position of witnesses and victims during the criminal proceedings through consistent application of procedural disciplinary measures.

Objective 4: Enhanced cooperation of state bodies involved in the witness protection system.

When it comes to the activities aimed at improving protection for victims and witnesses, it is necessary to point out the difficulties in assessing the reforms made in this area.87 Because the recommendations of the analysis of the position and needs of the Witness Protection Unit (WPU), which was carried out in 2016, have never been published, it is not possible to assess whether there has been any improvement in the institutional capacities for protection of witnesses or cooperation between the state authorities involved in the witness protection system.88

Activities involving the consistent application of the provisions of the CPC89 that regulate the sanctioning of participants in proceedings who violate procedural rules, are carried out continuously.90


88 Analysis of the position and needs of the Protection Unit is a highly confidential document, see: Initial Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, HLC, December 2017, p. 39.

89 Criminal Procedure Code (Official Gazette of the RS, nos. 46/06, 47/09 and 122/08), Article 102 and Articles 369-374.

90 State Prosecutorial Council’s reply (PI 40/19) of 22 November 2019 to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II- 17a no. 430/19 of 29 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.
Procedural measures for witness protection

Protection measures applied during criminal proceedings encompass measures to protect the integrity of witnesses, including the most vulnerable witnesses, and witnesses who face risks as a result of their giving evidence in court. The National Strategy requires the criminal chambers to apply consistently the provisions of the CPC regulating the sanctioning of the participants in proceedings who violate courtroom conduct rules, particularly if they attack the integrity of witnesses or victims.

In its previous reports, the HLC has reported several instances of disruption of the order of the courtroom through misbehaviour, which has resulted in fines, and also situations where inappropriate remarks made during the trial provoked reactions from the judges presiding over the chambers.

**There were no instances of misbehaviour in the courtroom over the reporting period.** The State Prosecutorial Council has not received any notification from the War Crimes Departments of the Higher Court and Court of Appeal in Belgrade concerning reprimands issued pursuant to Article 374 of the Criminal Procedure Code (CPC), for persons acting in an untimely manner or inadequately, thus causing delays in the proceedings. The judges handling war crimes cases have not been applying the provisions of the CPC concerning the sanctioning of participants in the proceedings who misbehave in the courtroom.

---

91 Criminal Procedure Code (Official Gazette of the RS, nos. 46/06, 47/09 and 122/08), Articles 102-111; *Ten years of war crimes prosecution in Serbia – Contours of Justice*, HLC, 2014, p. 62.

92 *National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes*, p. 23; Criminal Procedure Code (Official Gazette of the RS, nos. 46/06, 47/09 and 122/08), Articles 102-111.


95 State Prosecutorial Council’s reply (PI 40/19 of 22 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.

96 Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II- 17a no. 430/19 of 29 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.
Non-procedural measures for witness protection

The only information available to the public concerning non-procedural protection measures or implementation of the protection programmes is that which is presented in the reports of the Working Body for monitoring the implementation of the National Strategy.97 Since the latest report of the Working Body was adopted in mid-July 2019 (Report no. 6), no more recent information has been available about the activities aimed at improving the witness protection system.

In its latest report on Serbia’s progress, the European Commission remarked that there has been a delay in adopting amendments related to the implementation of urgent measures for witness protection98 and a further delay in implementing most of the recommendations of the Analysis of the Witness Protection Unit (the Analysis) conducted in 2016.99 Given the absence of information about the Analysis, the report of the European Commission provided a rare opportunity for the expert community to learn something about the impact of the Analysis.

The report also notes that “steps already taken to increase the capacity of the Witness Protection Unit within the Ministry of the Interior, along with new rules and procedures for selecting staff, are expected to improve its work.”100

98 Serbia 2019 Progress Report, p. 32.
99 Ibid.
100 Serbia 2019 Progress Report, p. 32.
SUPPORT TO VICTIMS AND WITNESSES

Objective 1: Improvement of the normative framework regulating the status of victim and witness.

Objective 2: Enhancing the capacity of the bodies providing support to the witnesses of war crimes during all phases of the criminal proceedings, such as: the Service for Assistance and Support to Victims and Witnesses within the Higher Court in Belgrade, the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor and the Ministry of the Interior Protection Unit.

Objective 3: Establishment of the national network of services for assistance and support to victims and witnesses and integration of the Service for Assistance and Support to Victims and Witnesses of the Higher Court in Belgrade, taking into consideration the specificities of war crimes proceedings and the need for the witnesses for the defence to receive the same treatment by the Service for Assistance and Support to Victims and Witnesses as the witnesses for the prosecution.

Objective 4: Improved regional cooperation in the field of providing support to victims and witnesses.

The public consultation process was launched in late November on the draft of the Serbian 2019-2025 National Strategy on the Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Crime.101

During the reporting period, there were no further trainings for the staff of the Victim and Witness Assistance and Support Service at the Higher Court in Belgrade (the Assistance and Support Service).102 No infrastructural or technical improvement was made to the Assistance and Support Service during the same period.103


102 Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II- 17a no. 430/19 of 29 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1.

103 Ibid.
Improving the normative framework governing the position of witnesses and victims

The latest available European Commission report on Serbia’s progress notes that the legal framework that governs the procedural rights of victims of crimes remains only partially aligned with the EU acquis. Following an analysis of Serbia’s normative framework’s alignment with the EU Victims’ Rights Directive (Directive 2012/29/EU), the Ministry of Justice set up a working group to draft amendments to the relevant laws and regulations, with the view to bringing the definition of the term “victim” into conformity with the definitions provided in international human rights treaties and with Directive 2012/29/EU.

At the time this report was completed there was no information about when the said amendments would be presented. When the HLC asked the Ministry of Justice about this, the reply received was brusque: “no documents.” The previous official reports on the Implementation of the National Strategy state that the relevant articles of the Criminal

---

104 Serbia 2019 Progress Report, p. 32.
106 Ministry of Justice’s reply (7-00-156/2018-30 of 14 May 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance; Report No. 2 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, May 2018, p. 35, states that the Working Group for drafting amendments to the Criminal Procedure Code was set up by the Ministry of Justice’s decision no. 119-01-00016/2018-06 of 17 April 2018, pursuant to the Action Plan for Chapter 23. The report further states that the Working Group for drafting a working draft of the Law Amending the Criminal Code was set up by the Ministry of Justice’s decision no. 119-01-00017/2018-06 of 8 March 2018. According to the report, the amendments will provide further alignment of the Criminal Code with Directive 2012/29/EU establishing minimum standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime.
108 Ministry of Justice’s reply to an HLC request for access to information of public importance of 15 November 2019 (HLCIndexIn: 25-F139822, 19 November 2019).
Draft of the National Strategy on the Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Crime

The public consultation process on the draft National Strategy on the Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Crime for the period 2019-2025 started on 28 November and will last until 17 December 2019. The process will cover, in addition to the draft National Strategy, the draft Action Plan for strategy implementation.

The HLC points out the purpose of these documents: to improve the position and rights of victims and witnesses of crime in line with EU standards, so that victims and witnesses of crime have access to an adequate level of procedural rights, support and assistance.

Guidelines for improving court practice in handling compensation claims filed by victims of serious crimes in the course of criminal proceedings (Guidelines for handling compensation claims in criminal proceedings)

Serbia’s Supreme Court of Cassation (SCC) in October presented the Guidelines for improving court practice in handling compensation claims made by victims of serious crimes during criminal proceedings (the Guidelines). The SCC Guidelines offer concrete instructions to both public prosecutors and judges on how to handle these compensation claims in the most economical and effective way.

---


111 Ibid.

112 Smernice za unapređenje sudske prakse u postupcima za naknadu štete žrtvama teških krivičnih dela u krivičnom postupku [Guidelines for improving court practice in handling compensation claims made by victims of serious crimes during criminal proceedings] are available (in Serbian) at: https://www.podrskahtvama.rs/lat/media/domaci/Smernice.pdf [accessed on 01 December 2019].
According to the Criminal Procedure Code, victims of crime (injured parties) are entitled to make a compensation claim (seeking compensation for the harm suffered as a result of a crime) before the completion of criminal proceedings. Thus far, however, no war crime victim has had his or her compensation claim adjudicated during criminal proceedings, because, as is explained to them by the courts, such an act would delay criminal proceedings. So the courts have invariably instructed victims to seek compensation through civil action following the completion of criminal proceedings. Consequently, referring victims to civil proceedings to pursue compensation has become common practice, rather than an exception, since the courts have been sticking to the position that compensation claims cannot be decided upon in criminal proceedings but only in civil proceedings. As a result of such a stance, victims are exposed to further victimisation, because after often lengthy and emotionally gruelling criminal proceedings, they are forced to engage in further lengthy and very costly proceedings to be able to realise their right to compensation. That is why the majority of victims are reluctant to go through further court proceedings and thus renounce their right to compensation.

The HLC believes that, by issuing the “Guidelines”, the SCC has made a step forward in improving the rights of crime victims, since this document provides direct guidance to prosecutor’s offices and courts in Serbia on the concrete steps they need to take to enable victims to realise their right to compensation immediately during the course of criminal proceedings.

That being so, the HLC nevertheless thinks that the OWCP should be more active in gathering the evidence necessary for adjudicating compensation claims, so that victims can realise their right to compensation in the course of criminal proceedings. In view of the fact that the 2013 Criminal Procedure Code changed the concept of criminal proceedings significantly and gave public prosecutors a role in gathering evidence regarding compensation claims, the role of the OWCP in the effective exercise of the right to compensation appears to be essential. Furthermore, the HLC calls on the courts to translate the “Guidelines” into practice, and in each case where evidence suggests that the compensation claim is well-founded, to decide upon it right away in the course of the criminal proceedings, and thus save the victims from exhausting themselves further by pursuing compensation through civil proceedings.114


Enhancing the Capacity of Bodies Providing Support to Witnesses of War Crimes The Hiring of a Psychologist

The National Strategy has recognised the need to hire trained professionals for the provision of psycho-social support to witnesses and victims. However, as in the previous reporting periods, the Victim and Witness Assistance and Support Service at the Higher Court in Belgrade and the Victim and Witness Information and Support Service of the OWCP have not implemented this activity. The National Strategy also foresees that the Protection Unit’s Job Classification Plan needs to be modified to create new positions to be filled by trained professionals who will provide psycho-social support to witnesses and victims.

According to the information that was available by the time this report was completed, the Protection Unit has not hired a psychologist either.

Apart from hiring a psychologist, the National Strategy provides for improvement of the infrastructure and technical capacity of the Victim and Witness Assistance and Support Service. These activities have not been implemented either.

116 Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II- 17a no. 430/19 of 29 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 1; Report No. 1 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, January 2018, p. 28, states that psychologist Ljubinka Marković, who is employed for an indefinite term by the Higher Court in Belgrade, can be seconded to the Witness and Victim Support Service at the War Crimes Department of the Higher Court in Belgrade, subject to the consent or decision of the competent body.
117 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 3; Informator o radu Tužilaštva za ratne zločine 2006-2019 (ažuriran sa stanjem na dan 26.08.2019) [Information booklet of the OWCP (updated on 26 August 2019)] p. 11, available (in Serbian) on the official website of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor (section Download Documents) at: http://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/sr/, [accessed on 04 December 2019].
118 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 25.
119 Ministry of the Interior’s reply (02/4 no. 072/1-483/19-4) of 6 August 2019.
DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED

| Objective 1: Increasing the quality of (court-) appointed and selected defence attorneys in war crimes proceedings. |
| Objective 2: Improved system of financing the costs of the (court-) appointed defence attorneys in war crimes cases. |

The insufficient competence of defence lawyers in the fields of international criminal law and international humanitarian law, and the inadequate financing of defence representation, are some of the chronic problems in defence representation, as identified by the National Strategy.122

Between June and December 2019, some of the lawyers providing representation in war crimes cases took part in the study visit to IRMCT and the International Criminal Court in The Hague and in the annual meeting of judges, prosecutors and lawyers. According to the latest information available to the HLC, the Rulebook on remuneration for court-appointed attorneys in war crimes cases was not amended in the said period.123

Improving the skills of court-appointed and retained attorneys

Two lawyers providing representation in war crimes cases also participated in the study visit to the IRMCT and the International Criminal Courts in The Hague in early September, as mentioned in the section of the Report dealing with trainings held for deputy prosecutors and prosecutorial assistants from the OWCP and judges and judicial assistants dealing with war crimes cases.124 The study visit was organised under the project “Support to Monitoring of National War Crimes Trials” (Phase II), led by the OSCE Mission to Serbia.

---

123 Report No. 6 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, June 2019, p. 82.
124 According to the HLC’s information.
In addition, lawyers providing representation in war crimes cases participated in the regional meeting of judges, prosecutors and lawyers on “Mutual admissibility of evidence in the countries and jurisdictions in the region and admissibility of IRMCT evidence”, organised jointly by the UNDP and the OSCE Mission to Serbia.

It is worth recalling that the National Strategy envisages the development of initial and continuing education programmes in the field of international humanitarian and international criminal law for lawyers representing defendants in war crimes cases, as a joint effort by the Serbian Bar Association, the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor, the War Crimes Department of the Higher Court in Belgrade and the Judicial Academy. And, as a next activity, the Strategy envisages implementation of continuing training in these areas for lawyers representing defendants in war crimes cases, through collaboration between the Serbian Bar Association and the Judicial Academy.

It must be conceded that the above-mentioned study visit and regional meeting were indeed organised, but they can hardly be considered as continuing training in the field of international humanitarian and international criminal law, not least because they were organised as part of the projects implemented by the OSCE Mission to Serbia and UNDP, and not by the Serbian Bar Association or the Judicial Academy, as stipulated in the National Strategy.

 Financing defence representation

The National Strategy envisages conducting a review of the provisions and effects of the application of the Rulebook on remuneration for court-appointed attorneys in war crimes cases (the Rulebook).

The latest available Working Body’s report (Report no. 6) describes this activity as fully implemented. The Ministry of Justice has set up a Working Group tasked with

125 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
126 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 27.
127 Ibid.
128 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 28.
129 Report No. 6 on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, June 2019, p. 82.
reviewing the provisions of the Rulebook.\textsuperscript{130} In response to an HLC enquiry about this matter in May 2018, the Ministry of Justice said that the Working Body had arrived at the conclusion that the Rulebook needed not be modified.\textsuperscript{131} The Serbian Bar Association did not reply to three enquiries about the state of implementation of the said activities.\textsuperscript{132} According to the information available to the HLC, the Bar is not represented in the Working Group.\textsuperscript{133}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline
\textbf{WAR CRIMES TRIALS AND THE ISSUE OF MISSING PERSONS} \\
\hline
Objective 1: Improved normative framework of relevance for determining the fate of missing persons. \\
Objective 2: Enhanced institutional and administrative capacities of the state bodies involved in the process of determining the fate of missing persons, and their mutual cooperation. \\
Objective 3: Enhancement of regional and broader international cooperation in the field of determining the fate of missing persons. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

The Working Rules and Procedures for the implementation of the Protocol on Cooperation in the Search for Missing Persons signed between the Government of the Republic of Serbia and the Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina were agreed and signed during the reporting period. The first report of the Missing Persons Group (MPG) of the International Commission on Missing Persons (ICMP) was presented and adopted in the same period. The report concerns the activities carried out between November 2018 and July 2019.

\textsuperscript{130} Ibid; Ministry of Justice’s reply (7-00-156/2018-30 of 14 May 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance. \\
\textsuperscript{131} Ibid. \\
\textsuperscript{132} Request for access to information of public importance of 25 October 2018 (HlcIndexOut: F170-F135437); Request for access to information of public importance of 22 May 2019 (HlcIndexOut: F170-F137823); Request for access to information of public importance of 4 January 2019 (HlcIndexOut: F170-F139655). \\
\textsuperscript{133} Ministry of Justice’s reply (7-00-162/2018-32 of 18 May 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.
The process of identification of Serbian victims who died in the period from 1991 to 1995 in Croatia, and the handover of the identified mortal remains of individuals who died in the Kosovo armed conflict, continued during the reporting period.

Normative and institutional frameworks

- Improving the legislative framework

According to the information provided on the website of the Commission on Missing Persons of the Government of Serbia (the Missing Persons Commission), the Missing Persons Commission held a meeting in June to discuss the initiative to amend the Law on Management of Migration and the need to draft a Law on Missing Persons. Veljko Odalović, Chair of the Missing Persons Commission, brought up the subject of expanding the Commission’s mandate beyond the search for missing persons, to include the “issue of killed persons”. Odalovic added that this initiative should be inserted in the Law on Migration Management. The HLC points out that expanding the Missing Persons Commission’s mandate has been discussed since 2017, but the mandate has remained unchanged.

The Missing Persons Commission’s website also informs the public that the meeting discussed the need to draft a Law on Missing Persons which would regulate the rights of missing persons’ families. This matter is a complex one, as is stated on the website; because, in addition to the families of Serbian citizens who died in the conflicts, there are several hundred thousand people living in Serbia who, after leaving the war-affected areas, had their citizenship status in Serbia permanently regulated.


135 Ibid.


139 Ibid.
The HLC points out that Serbia does not have a Law on Missing Persons which would specifically regulate the status and rights of missing persons’ families. Such a law should be the one to systematically regulate the competences of state authorities, and communication and exchange of information among them, in order to improve the search process, and regulate the issue of reparations for missing persons’ families.  


As stated in the HLC’s previous (Fourth) Report, the analysis of the organisational structure and position of the professional service (persons permanently engaged in the work of the Missing Persons Commission of the Serbian Government) was completed. Pursuant to the Rulebook on Internal Job Organisation and Job Classification (which entered into force on 10 April 2019), the Department for Missing Persons was formed, as a smaller organisational unit to replace the Missing Persons Division. The Department consists of two smaller units: the Group for Mission Persons from the territory of the Autonomous Province of Kosovo, and the Group for Missing Persons from the territory of the former Yugoslavia (SFRY). The Department is to have a staff of nine, once financial resources are made available. At the time of this writing, no information was forthcoming about whether the financial resources have been secured.

• Mortal remains identification

In mid-June 2019, the mortal remains of 11 Serb victims who died between 1991 and 1995 in Croatia in the course of the armed conflict in the former Yugoslavia were identified, in the presence of their family members, at the Forensics and Criminology Institute of

---


142 Ibid.

143 Ibid.

144 Ibid.
The process of the handover of identified mortal remains of persons who died during the armed conflict in Kosovo continued during this reporting period. In September 2019, the mortal remains of a family of five from Dakovica were handed over to the competent Kosovo authorities at the Kula-Rožaje border crossing. Prior to that, the mortal remains of two other Serbs who died during the armed conflict in Kosovo in 1999 had been handed over. In both cases, the mortal remains had been exhumed from the location called “Bunker”, in Dakovica, and their identity was determined by DNA analysis.

---


147 Ibid.


149 “Preuzeti posmrtni ostaci dva lica stradalih u konfliktu na AP KIM 1999” [The mortal remains of two persons who died in the conflict in the AP of Kosovo and Metohija in 1999 have been taken over], News, 10 September 2019, Missing Persons Commission, available on the official website of the Missing Persons Commission at: http://www.kznl.gov.rs/aktuelno.php [accessed on 7 December 2019].

150 Ibid; “Izvršena primopredaja posmrtnih ostataka petočlane porodice stradalih u konfliktu na AP KIM 1999” [Handover of the mortal remains of five members of the Šutaković family who died in the conflict in the AP of Kosovo and Metohija in 1999], News, 10 September 2019, Missing Persons Commission.
Regional cooperation in accounting for missing persons - Regional Missing Persons Group of the International Commission on Missing Persons (ICMP)

The Missing Persons Group (MPG) of the ICMP was formed under the regional Framework Plan to Address the Issue of Missing Persons from the Conflicts on the Territory of the former Yugoslavia (the Framework Plan), signed in The Hague by representatives of the domestic institutions responsible for the search and identification of missing persons from Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Kosovo, Montenegro and Serbia in November 2018. The Group is tasked with overseeing the implementation of activities laid down in the Framework Plan.151

The MPG held its third meeting in Podgorica in June, to discuss the results of the implementation of the activities under the Framework Plan.152 The meeting was attended by heads of the institutions responsible for the search for missing persons from Serbia, Montenegro, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia and Kosovo. As stated in the press release issued by Serbia’s Missing Persons Commission, the meeting was organised in order to discuss the results achieved so far in accounting for missing persons under the Framework Plan and the methods used for reporting the results.153

The first report of the MPG, listing the activities carried out under the Framework Plan and the progress made between November 2018 and July 2019, was presented in July on the sidelines of the 6th Berlin Process Summit in Poznan, Poland.154 According to the


153 Ibid.

websites of Serbia’s Missing Persons Commission and the ICMP, the MPG enhanced regional cooperation in accounting for the missing, through the exchange of data that may help to identify the as-yet unidentified mortal remains, and through the development of the Database of active missing persons cases from the conflicts on the territory of the former Yugoslavia.  

- Protocol on Cooperation in the Search for Missing Persons signed between the Government of the Republic of Serbia and the Council of Ministers of Bosnia and Herzegovina: Working rules and procedures

Working Rules and Procedures for the implementation of the Protocol on Cooperation in the Search for Missing Persons agreed between the Government of the Republic of Serbia and the Council of Minister of Bosnia and Herzegovina were signed in late July 2019. These rules and procedures lay down the relationship, rights, duties and joint work of the government institutions of the Republic of Serbia and Bosnia and Herzegovina responsible for the search for missing persons from the armed conflicts on the territory of the former Yugoslavia.

Fund to support the competent government bodies in collecting all available information on gravesites locations

The National Strategy envisages the setting up of a special fund to support the competent government bodies in collecting all available information on the location of graves that may hold the bodies of missing persons. During the reporting period, no information was made available about whether any steps have been taken to implement this activity.

155 Ibid.


157 Ibid.

158 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 29.

COOPERATION WITH THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA

Objective 1: Intensifying of cooperation with the ICTY and the MICT so that evidence on committed war crimes is transferred to the national judiciary and priority cases opened on the basis of such evidence.

The OWCP has maintained intensive cooperation with the IRMCT, through regular meetings between prosecutors and through its liaison officer based at the IRMCT office. Also, a study visit to The Hague Branch of the IRMCT was organised.160

As regards the IRMCT case of Jojić et al., after the IRMCT in June decided not to refer the case to the Serbian judiciary, Serbia appealed against this decision in July.161

Research of the Archive of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia and the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals – liaison officers

The OWCP has had its liaison officer at the ICTY/IRMCT since 2009.162 His responsibilities include searching the electronic database of the Office of the ICTY/IRMCT Prosecutor, and holding consultations and meetings with IRMCT prosecutors for the purpose of obtaining evidence to be used in the cases prosecuted by the OWCP.163 As confirmed in the OWCP’s response to an HLC enquiry on this matter, the OWCP liaison officer was present at the Office of the Prosecutor (OTP) of the IRMCT throughout the reporting period.164 It is not known, however, in how many war crime cases that have been tried or

160 Ibid.
163 Information taken from the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor website: http://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/sr/saradnja/me%C4%91unarodna-saradnja [accessed on 4 December 2019].
164 Author’s note: Since the closure of the ICTY on 31 December 2017, the OWCP liaison officers have been based at the International Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals (pp. 2-3 of OWCP’s reply Pl.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019 in response to an HLC request for information of public importance).
are being tried by domestic courts the evidence collected by the OWCP liaison officer at the Mechanism OTP has been used.

During the reporting period, the Serbian War Crimes Prosecutor and deputy war crimes prosecutors met with the IRMCT Chief Prosecutor.165

*Jojić et al.*

In January 2015, the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia issued a request for the arrest and handover of three officials of the Serbian Radical Party - Petar Jojić, Jovo Ostojić and Vjerica Radeta. The three were charged with threatening, intimidating, offering bribes to, and otherwise interfering with witnesses who were to give evidence against Vojislav Šešelj, in an attempt to dissuade them from testifying.166 Jovo Ostojić died in mid-2017, and Serbia refused to hand over to the ICTY the remaining two indictees.167 In June 2018, the IRMCT decided to transfer the case against Radeta and Jojić to Serbia.168

---

165 “OWCP delegation pays a working visit to the IRMCT”, News, 9 September 2019, available on the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor official website at: https://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/en/news-announcements/announcements/owcp-delegation-pays-a-working-visit-to-the-irmct; “Tužilac za ratne zločine Republike Srbije Snežana Stanojković sastala se sa glavnim Tužiocem MRMKS Seržom Brammertz” [Serbian War Crimes Prosecutor Snežana Stanojković met with IRMCT Chief Prosecutor Serge Brammertz], News, 4 November 2019, available on the official website of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor at: http://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/sr/vesti-i-saop%C5%A1tenja/saop%C5%A1tenja/tu%C5%BEilac-za-ratne-zlo%C4%8Dine-republike-srbije-sne%C5%BEana-stanojkovi%C4%87-sastala-se-sa-glavnim-tu%C5%BEiocem-mr%D0%BCks-ser%C5%BEom-bram-ercem [both sources accessed on 4 December 2019].

166 See the case of *Jojić et al.* (IT-03-67-R77.5); case documentation is available on the IRMCT website at: http://jrad.unmict.org/webdrawer/webdrawer.dll/webdrawer/search/rec&sm_recnbr&sm_ncontents =mict-17-111&sm_created&sm_fulltext&sort1=rs_datecreated&count&template=reclist&rows=25# [accessed on 4 December 2019].

167 War Crimes Department of the Higher Court in Belgrade, decision Pom Ik2 Po2 48/2016, Kv Po2 16/2016 of 18 May 2016 upholding the decision of the Pre-Trial Judge that the legal conditions had not been met for the arrest and extradition of the accused, available online (in Serbian) at: http://www.hlc-rdc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/2st_presuda_Jojic,_Radeta_i_Ostojic.pdf [accessed on 4 December 2019].

168 *Public redacted version of the 12 June 2018 order referring a case to the Republic of Serbia*, MICT-17-111—R90, D205-D191, p. 5, 12 June 2018. In it, the IRMCT ordered that Radeta and Jojić be immediately arrested and extradited to Serbia if found on the territory of any EU Member State.
After the *Amicus Curiae* Prosecutor appealed against this decision\(^\text{169}\), the IRMCT in May this year revoked it, and ordered Serbia to hand over the accused to the IRMCT without delay.\(^\text{170}\)

Explaining the rationale behind this decision, the IRMCT stated that witness statements had been put to the court which demonstrated that the witnesses were “categorically unwilling” to be witnesses if proceedings were transferred to Serbia, owing to fears for their safety and the safety of their family members, including fear of being killed or seriously harmed physically.\(^\text{171}\) The explanation further stated that the witnesses wished to continue to cooperate with the IRMCT to ensure that the evidence they would provide was considered.\(^\text{172}\)

The Republic of Serbia filed a submission against the decision, arguing that the arguments advanced by the *Amicus Curiae* are “unfounded”, “erroneous”, and “arbitrary”, and that there is no valid reason prohibiting the conduct of the trial in Serbia, as the legal framework on witness protection in Serbia can adequately address any security concerns by the witnesses.\(^\text{173}\)

The IRMCT nonetheless decided that Jojić and Radeta are to be tried before the Mechanism. This decision is based on the information received from the witnesses, which have raised serious concern as to the possible impact a trial in Serbia might have on the safety, privacy, and wellbeing of the witnesses and their families, for which reasons the witnesses are only willing to testify if the proceedings are continued before the IRMCT.\(^\text{174}\) The fact that these two witnesses are the sole source of direct evidence supporting two counts of the indictment was the main reason behind the IRMCT’s decision.\(^\text{175}\)

---


\(^{170}\) *Jojic & Radeta – Decision re-examining the referral of a case to the Republic of Serbia (MICT-17-111-0052/3), 13 May 2019*, available online on the official website of the IRMCT at: https://jrad.irmct.org/view.htm?r=245231&s [accessed on 4 December 2019].

\(^{171}\) *Ibid*, p. 2.

\(^{172}\) *Ibid*.

\(^{173}\) *Ibid*, p. 3.

\(^{174}\) *Ibid*.

\(^{175}\) *Ibid*, p. 4.
After Serbian Justice Minister Nela Kuburović announced that Serbia would appeal against the IRMCT extradition decision, the appeal was filed on 8 July 2019. The Amicus Curiae Prosecutor filed a response to the appeal, submitting that Serbia’s appeal should be denied, because Serbia had failed to demonstrate that the Single Judge’s Decision contained any specific error of law or was so unfair or unreasonable as to constitute an abuse of his discretion.

The Amicus Curiae Prosecutor further stated that Serbia had not identified any discernible error of law that invalidated the Decision of the Single Judge. Serbia’s submissions, in the view of the Amicus Curiae Prosecutor, have not addressed the central, relevant issue under consideration by the Single Judge. Serbia misunderstands its international legal obligations, and the meaning of the “primacy of international law”, the Amicus Curiae further states, and cites a rule from the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the IRMCT, which provides that the obligations concerning cooperation of states with the IRMCT laid down in the IRMCT Statute “shall prevail over any legal impediment to the surrender or transfer of an accused or of a detained witness […] that may exist under the national law or treaties of the State concerned.”

---


178 Jojić & Radeta – Response to Appeal against Decision Re-examining the Referral of a Case to the Republic of Serbia, MICT-17-111-0058, 17 July 2019, para. 3.

179 Ibid.

180 Ibid, para 17.

181 Ibid, para 20.


As regards Serbia's non-compliance with the arrest warrants for Radeta and Jojić, the HLC points out that Serbia cannot cite its domestic legislation to justify its failure to meet its international obligations, including full cooperation with the IRMCT. It is worth recalling that in at least three other contempt-of-court cases, no legal obstacles were found to prevent the arrest and transfer of the accused to the ICTY. Namely, when Jelena Rašić, and then Dragomir Pećanac and Ljubiša Petković, were wanted by the ICTY for this offence, the court found that the legal conditions for their extradition had been met, and had them arrested and handed over to the ICTY.

**REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Regional Cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: The Republic of Serbia shall invest efforts to develop normative regulation of the issues of regional cooperation in regard to prosecuting war crimes, as well as other related issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 2: Proceeding upon letters of request of the Republic of Serbia sent to the states in the region is improved through joint action of the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor and the Ministry of Justice, and the number of cases in which the evidence is exchanged between prosecutors' offices through regional cooperation is increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 3: Enhanced cooperation with the judicial bodies of the provisional institutions of Kosovo and Metohija.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. International Cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: Enhanced international cooperation through the presentation of work of the national judicial bodies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The National Strategy acknowledges the importance of enhancing regional judicial cooperation as a key to increasing efficiency in the area of war crimes prosecutions.


One regional conference of prosecutors was held in the reporting period (in Sarajevo).\textsuperscript{188} As regards the exchange of evidence between the prosecutors’ offices in the region, according to the OWCP statistical indicators, the OWCP received evidence from the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H in three cases, from the State Attorney’s Office of the Republic of Croatia (DORH) in one case, and no item of evidence from the EULEX Mission.\textsuperscript{189}

**Improving the normative framework of regional judicial cooperation – regional conferences**

As has been reported in the section discussing improvement of the capacity of the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor, the war crimes prosecutor and two deputy war crimes prosecutors took part in the October regional meeting of judges, prosecutors and lawyers handling war crimes cases, on the topic of “Mutual admissibility of evidence in the countries and jurisdictions in the region and admissibility of IRMCT evidence”\textsuperscript{190} The meeting, jointly organised by the UNDP and the OSCE Mission to Serbia, was held in Belgrade. In November, a regional meeting of prosecutors was held in Sarajevo, also organised by the UNDP, with the support of the Government of the United Kingdom and the Italian Embassy.\textsuperscript{191} The participants included delegations of

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{188} “Regional prosecutors meet in Sarajevo to discuss cooperation in war crimes cases”, news, 27 November 2019, available on the official website of the OWCP at: https://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/en/news-and-announcements/announcements/regional-prosecutors-meet-in-sarajevo-to-discuss-cooperation-in-war-crimes-cases; “Regionalni sastanak tužilaca u okviru UNDP projekta regionalne saradnje u procesuiranju predmeta ratnih zločina održan u Sarajevu” [Regional meeting of prosecutors held in Sarajevo as part of the UNDP project on regional cooperation in the prosecution of war crimes], News, 27 November 2019, available on the official website of the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H at: http://tuzilastvobih.gov.ba/?id=4336&jezik=b [both sources accessed on 3 December 2019].
\item \textsuperscript{189} OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 30/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.
\item \textsuperscript{190} OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 28/19 of 20 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
\item \textsuperscript{191} “Regional prosecutors meet in Sarajevo to discuss cooperation in war crimes cases”, news, 27 November 2019, available on the official website of the OWCP; “Regionalni sastanak tužilaca u okviru UNDP projekta regionalne saradnje u procesuiranju predmeta ratnih zločina održan u Sarajevu” [Regional meeting of prosecutors held in Sarajevo in the framework of the UNDP project on regional cooperation in the prosecution of war crimes], news, 27 November 2019, available on the official website of the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H.
\end{itemize}
the OWCP, the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H, the IRMCT, the Supreme Prosecutor’s Office of Montenegro and State Attorney’s Office of Croatia.¹⁹²

The HLC sees the resumption of regional prosecutorial conferences and the OWCP’s renewed participation in them as an encouraging development, bearing in mind that the good practice of the Serbian War Crimes Prosecutor and her deputies participating in the conferences organised under the Palić¹⁹³ and Brijuni Processes had been discontinued a few years ago.¹⁹⁴

It should nevertheless be noted that most of the conferences and meetings that have been held over the last several months have been organised as part of the project “Enhancing Regional Cooperation in the Processing of War Crimes and the Search for Missing Persons (2017-2019)”.¹⁹⁵ This means that the initiative to hold these meetings and conferences did not originally come from the Serbian Ministry of Justice, as required by the National Strategy.¹⁹⁶ The National Strategy stipulates that the Ministry of Justice is to initiate regional conferences, which should aim to enhance regional cooperation in war crimes prosecutions through addressing certain outstanding issues that have been hampering its

¹⁹² Ibid.

¹⁹³ The first regional meeting to discuss interstate cooperation in war crimes prosecutions (including concrete forms of cooperation in war crimes cases, such as enhancing existing mechanisms of crossborder cooperation, access to witnesses and other evidence, etc.) took place in November 2004 under the auspices of the OCSE Mission. This cooperation mechanism in the meantime became known as the “Palić Process”. The next regional conference was held on the Brijuni Islands, Croatia, in June 2005 (referred to in the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 18, and the information provided on the OWCP website in section Cooperation/ International Cooperation/ Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), available at: https://www.tuzilastvorz.org.rs/en/co-operation/international-co-operation [accessed on 3 December 2019]; National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 32.


¹⁹⁶ Ministry of Justice’s reply to an HLC request for access to information of public importance of 15 November 2019 (HLCIndexIn: 25-F139822, 19 November 2019), pp. 1-2.
In response to an HLC enquiry about the activities undertaken so far to hold a regional conference, the Ministry of Justice stated, “there are no documents about these activities”.198

**Cooperation among prosecutor’s offices in the region**

During the reporting period, the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H shared with the OWCP the evidence it possessed for three war crimes cases.199 Since the adoption of the National Strategy in February 2016, 19 indictments have been transferred to the OWCP from B&H, constituting over 80% percent of all the indictments that the OWCP has since filed.200 Evidence pertaining to one war crime case was transferred to the OWCP by the DORH during the reporting period.201

---

197 The following are the regional conferences foreseen in the *National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes*: a regional conference on strengthening cooperation in the provision of support to victims and witnesses, p. 27; a regional conference to negotiate an intergovernmental agreement which would lay down regional rules on the division of jurisdiction over war crimes cases; a regional conference on simplifying and facilitating the procedure for processing requests for legal assistance in war crimes matters; a regional conference on facilitating the collection of evidence in the territory of another state by defence lawyers in war crimes cases; a regional conference on establishing a uniform approach to resolving missing persons cases, p. 31.

198 Ministry of Justice’s reply to an HLC request for access to information of public importance of 15 November 2019 (HLCIndexIn: 25-F139822, 19 November 2019), pp. 1-2.

199 OWCP’s reply (Pl.no. 30/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.

200 The following are the cases in which indictments have been transferred to the OWCP from B&H since the adoption of the *National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes* on 20 February 2016: Doboj, Ključ – Šljivari, Bratunac, Bosanska Krupa, *Ključ – Kamičak, *Ključ – Kamičak II, Sanski Most – Lušći Palanka, Caparde, Bosanska Krupa II, Ključ – Rezovci, Kožuhe – Doboj, Brčko, Brčko II, the indictment against Branko Branković, Bratunac II, Brčko II, Ključ Velagić, Zvornik Standard i Kalinovik. *Ključ – Kamičak and Ključ – Kamičak II have been merged into one case.

201 OWCP’s reply (Pl.no. 30/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.
The EULEX Mission has not shared any evidence with the OWCP during the reporting period. This information should be viewed in the light of the fact that in June 2018 the scope of the work of the EULEX Mission was changed. According to the relevant EU Council decision, EULEX’s mandate now includes monitoring and advising the Kosovo judiciary and Kosovo Correctional Service, supporting the Kosovo Specialist Chambers and the implementation of EU-facilitated dialogue agreements on normalisation of relations between Kosovo and Serbia. In early April 2019, the Serbian media reported that the Kosovo courts had taken over war crimes cases from EULEX.

Given the changed circumstances and the fact that EULEX’s mandate has been reduced to overseeing and advising, the HLC considers it necessary to put in place a mechanism which will enable communication and cooperation between the OWCP and the Kosovo Prosecutor’s Office.

202 OWCP’s reply (PI.no. 30/19 of 25 November 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.


205 “Kosovski sudovi preuzeli slučajeve ratnih zločina od Euleksa” [Kosovo courts take over war crimes cases from EULEX], news, Insajder, 1 April 2019, available online (in Serbian) at: https://insajder.net/sr/sajt/vazno/13967/; “Kosovski sudovi preuzeli slučajeve ratnih zločina od Euleksa” [Kosovo courts take over war crimes cases from EULEX], [Kosovo courts take over war crimes cases from EULEX], news, Večernje novosti, 1 April 2019, available online (in Serbian) at: http://www.novosti.rs/vesti/naslovna/dosije/aktuelno.292.html:786389-Kosovski-sudovi-preuzeli-slucajeve-ratnih-zlocina-od-Euleksa; “Kosovski sudovi preuzeli slučajeve ratnih zločina od Euleksa” [Kosovo courts take over war crimes cases from EULEX], news, RTS, 1 April 2019, available at: http://www.rts.rs/page/stories/sr/story/9/politika/3473774/kosovski-sudovi-preuzeli-slucajeve-ratnih-zlocina-od-euleksa.html [all sources accessed on 3 December 2019].
Application of the principle of “universal jurisdiction” – parallel investigations

Despite good cooperation between the OWCP and the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H in the form of exchange of evidence and transfer of cases, the practice of conducting parallel investigations into suspected war crimes perpetrators sparks mistrust between the two prosecutors’ offices. In late November 2019, a B&H citizen was arrested on the Serbia-B&H border on suspicion of having committed a war crime against civilians concurrently with a war crime against prisoners of war.206

According to the Law on Organisation and Jurisdiction of Government Authorities in War Crimes Proceedings, the authorities of the Republic of Serbia have jurisdiction to prosecute any gross violations of international humanitarian law that have been committed on the territory of the whole former Yugoslavia since 1 January 1991.207 This means that the OWCP has jurisdiction to prosecute all crimes committed during the wars in the former Yugoslavia, regardless of the nationality of the alleged perpetrator or victim, and regardless of where in the former Yugoslavia the alleged crime was committed (the principle of universal jurisdiction). This principle, formally speaking, allows Serbian prosecutors to conduct investigations and bring indictments against nationals of any state in the region.208 However, the practice of prosecuting nationals of other Yugoslavian successor states deserves criticism for undermining the very foundations of regional judicial cooperation – mutual trust and respect.209

According to the information available at the time of completion of this report, the Prosecutor’s Office of B&H has asked the Ministry of Justice of B&H to submit to the competent judicial authorities of the Republic of Serbia a request for the extradition of

---


Osmanović to Bosnia and Herzegovina. The Prosecutor’s Office gave the following reasons for the request: it has already been working on the Osmanović Case, the crimes Osmanović is suspected of committing occurred on the territory of B&H, the victims were citizens of B&H, the evidence and witnesses are in B&H, and Osmanović is a B&H citizen.

In the HLC’s view, the OWCP should hand over all the evidence it possesses against Osmanović to the competent authorities of B&H, whose citizenship he holds, in order that he can stand trial in B&H. The HLC’s stance on this question is that each state formed after the disintegration of Yugoslavia should first and foremost prosecute its own citizens for war crimes, as this sends a message to the other states of the region that the state in question is willing to deal with crimes committed by its citizens and has a genuine intention to normalise relations within the region.

It is worth recalling in this respect the Protocol on Cooperation in the Prosecution of Perpetrators of War Crimes, Crimes against Humanity and Genocide (the Protocol) signed between the Prosecutor’s Office of Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor of the Republic of Serbia in 2013. The Protocol provides for the exchange of information and evidence concerning crimes committed on the territory of either of the parties where the suspects are nationals or residents of the other party to the Protocol, as well as informing the other party about the status of a case following the exchange of information/evidence. The Protocol contains a provision designed to put an end to parallel investigations, which imposes the obligation on either party to inform the other party, within three months from the signing of the agreement, of all ongoing cases against nationals of the other party.


211 Ibid.

212 Ibid.


215 Ibid, Article 3.
Furthermore, at the Western Balkans Summit held in London in July 2018 within the framework of the Berlin Process, a Joint Declaration on War Crimes was signed, which acknowledged the need for strengthening regional cooperation.\textsuperscript{216} The Declaration underlines the importance of removing impediments to effective regional cooperation, including through the exchange of information, cooperation between prosecutor’s offices, expeditious mutual legal assistance and avoiding conflicts of jurisdiction.\textsuperscript{217}

In the light of the above, the HLC calls on the competent institutions in Serbia to abandon the application of the principle of universal jurisdiction, because it widens the gulf of mistrust between the states in the region, and consequently further impedes the effective prosecution of war crimes.

### IMPROVING THE SOCIETY’S ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE ISSUE OF WAR CRIMES TRIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective 1: Easier access to information on war crimes trials.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 2: Enhancement of capacity of media professionals for appropriate reporting on war crimes proceedings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 3: Improvement of the curricula in a manner that allows the students to obtain a sufficient quantity of relevant information on the conflicts in the former Yugoslavia, war crimes committed during that time, and norms of the international humanitarian law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 4: Public presentation of the National Strategy as the tool to express firm and unequivocal commitment of the Republic of Serbia to undertake measures preventing impunity of war crimes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No progress was made in the reporting period towards improving the public attitude towards war crimes or war crimes trials. The promotion and glorification of convicted war criminals intensified during this period.\textsuperscript{218}

\textsuperscript{216} For more information about the London Summit visit: https://www.gov.uk/government/topical-events/westernbalkans-summit-london-2018/about [accessed on 3 December 2019].


\textsuperscript{218} See also: Initial Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, HLC, December 2017, pp. 68-76; Second Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy...
As in the previous reporting periods, information on war crimes trials was not easily accessible. This was largely because the reports on the implementation of strategic documents in the field of war crimes prosecution, such as the Action Plan for Chapter 23 and the National Strategy, were published at too slow a pace to be able to fulfil the right of the public to know about the progress of the reform processes that these two documents had mapped out. As regards the state of implementation of the Prosecutorial Strategy, there were no reports available.

**Public promotion of convicted war criminals**

**The “Ratnik” (“Warrior”) series, published by the Ministry of Defence**

The promotion of the “Ratnik” (“Warrior”) series by the Ministry of Defence, which began during the International Belgrade Book Fair in October 2018, continued in 2019.\(^{219}\) The Ministry of Defence spent around four million dinars from Serbia’s state budget on the publication of the “Warrior” series.\(^{220}\)

The latest book by Nebojša Pavković, “The Stench of Gunpowder and Death in Kosovo and Metohija 1998”, was launched by the Ministry of Defence at the 64th Belgrade Book Fair. The HLC recalls that Nebojša Pavković, a retired general of the Yugoslav Army (VJ), was finally convicted, together with Nikola Šainović, former Vice-President of the Government of the FRY, Sreten Lukić, Chief of MoI Staff in Kosovo, and Vladimir Lazarević, also a retired general of the VJ, on all five counts of the ICTY indictment, including forcible transfer, deportation, killings and persecution of the Albanian population of Kosovo.\(^{221}\) The Ministry of Defence also staged a public discussion entitled

---

\(^{219}\) As stated on the Ministry of Defence’s website, “the reason for launching the ‘Warrior’ series is the effort to preserve the experience of our war commanders during the NATO aggression in 1999, their war skills, decision-making methods, disposition of units - everything by which they made us feel proud at that time, defending the country – as a contribution to the culture of memory”. See “Warrior’ edition promoted at the Central Military Club”, News, 10 April 2019, available at the official website of the Ministry of Defence: http://www.mod.gov.rs/eng/13814/edicija-ratnik-promovisana-u-domu-vojske-13814 [accessed on 5 December 2019].

\(^{220}\) Serbian Ministry of Defence reply (32-128 of 3 October 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance.

\(^{221}\) See Šainović et al. (IT-05-87) at: https://www.icty.org/en/case/milutinovic/4 [accessed on 5 July 2019].
“Experiences from operations during the NATO aggression – PRESERVING MEMORY THROUGH BOOKS”, which featured convicted war criminals Vladimir Lazarević\textsuperscript{222} and Vinko Pandurević\textsuperscript{223}

The HLC issued a press release criticising the Ministry of Defence for using the Book Fair to promote convicted war criminals\textsuperscript{224}. The Ministry of Defence countered the criticism with a press release of its own, in which it stated that “for far too long, others have written the history of Serbia and decided what is true and what is not, so this is our way to tell the truth about the wars Serbia neither wanted nor provoked”\textsuperscript{225}

The Humanitarian Law Center remains firm in its belief that the promotion of convicted war criminals at the Belgrade Book Fair organised by the Ministry of Defence leads to the relativisation of past crimes and denial of the facts established during judicial processes conducted before the ICTY. When the relativisation comes from government institutions themselves, this casts serious doubt on the state’s intention and willingness to prosecute these crimes and improve the general public’s attitude towards war crimes trials, especially those conducted by domestic courts.

**Paucity of information about war crimes trials**

In the nearly three years of the implementation of the National Strategy, not one of the activities to achieve the objective defined as “easier access to information about war crimes trials” has been implemented in a way that could be considered successful.

The first such activity is concerned with the consistent application of Article 16a of the Law on Organisation and Jurisdiction of Government Authorities in War Crimes

\textsuperscript{222} See Šainović \textit{et al.} (IT-05-87).

\textsuperscript{223} See Popović \textit{et al.} (IT-05-88) at: https://www.icty.org/en/case/popovic [accessed on 5 December 2019].


Proceedings\textsuperscript{226} by the presidents of the competent courts.\textsuperscript{227} This article governs the granting of permissions for trial recording for the purpose of public broadcasting. While preparing its reports on the implementation of the National Strategy, the HLC has submitted to the Higher Court in Belgrade several requests for access to information of public interest, in order to find out whether the court had received any requests for permission to take photographs or make audio and video recordings of a trial for the purpose of public broadcasting.\textsuperscript{228} In one of the replies provided, the court said that it had received two such requests between February 2016 and May 2017. Both these requests were for the \textit{Srebrenica-Kravica} Case and both were denied.\textsuperscript{229} In its subsequent replies, which concerned the period after May 2017, the Higher Court stated that it had received no such requests in the said period.\textsuperscript{230}

\textsuperscript{226} Law on Organisation and Jurisdiction of Government Authorities in War Crimes (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia nos. 67/2003, 135/2004, 61/2005, 101/2007, 104/2009, 101/2011 – other laws and 6/2015). Article 16a reads as follows: “The recording of a trial for the purposes of public broadcast may be approved by the Court President upon obtaining the parties’ opinions. The recording referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article may be carried out by public media. If the recording of a trial is approved, the panel may, for justified reasons, decide that certain parts of the trial may not be recorded.”

\textsuperscript{227} National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 34.

\textsuperscript{228} Request for access to information of public importance (HLCIndexIn: 170-F129688) of 20 April 2017, p. 2; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 93/17 of 18 May 2017) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2; Request for access to information of public importance, HLCIndexIn: 170-F133865, of 04.05.2018, p. 2; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 111/18 of 28 May 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2; Request for access to information of public importance, HLCIndexIn: 170-135616, of 19 November 2018; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 319/18 of 27 November 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance; Request for access to information of public importance, HLCIndexIn: 170-137852, of 30 May 2019; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 301/19 of 19 June 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance; Request for access to information of public importance, HLCIndexIn: 170-139667, of 6 November 2019, p. 2; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 430/19, of 29 January 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{229} Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 93/17 of 18 May 2017) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{230} Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 111/18 of 28 May 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 319/18 of 27 November 2018) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 301/19 of 19 June 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance; Higher Court in Belgrade’s reply (Su II 17a no. 430/19 of 29 January 2019) to an HLC request for access to information of public importance, p. 2.
The HLC recalls that, unlike with trials for organised crime, the media have never made a video footage of a war crimes trial. Consequently, for more than 15 years of domestic war crimes prosecution, the general public in Serbia has never had a chance to see a single testimony of a victim, perpetrator or witness participating in a trial, or a court delivering a judgment in a war crime case.

The second activity is concerned with upgrading the website of the Higher Court in Belgrade, so that all necessary information about war crimes judgments is posted on it, and also, gradually over time, the full texts of the judgments rendered in war crimes cases. In 2018, the Higher Court in Belgrade’s website was completely redesigned, but it still contains little information about war crimes cases. At the time of this writing, a modest amount of information about war crimes cases was available from the new Higher Court’s website. The latest item of information posted is the news, dated 20 June 2019, that the judgment on the Lovas Case had been handed down and pronounced. It is not without significance to mention here that the Higher Court in Belgrade has handed down four more judgments in war crimes cases since that date.

The third activity, as set out in the National Strategy, is regular publication of meaningful and relevant reports on the performance of the judicial institutions responsible for handling war crimes cases. Apart from the Report in relation to sub-sections 1.4.1.10. and 1.4.3.5. of the Action Plan for Chapter 23, posted by the OWCP on its website in late December 2018, and designed to show what actions the OWCP has taken with

---


232 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 34.

233 Official website of the Higher Court in Belgrade at: https://www.bg.vi.sud.rs/ [accessed on 6 December 2019].


235 Specifically, until 1 December 2019. The cases in which first instance judgments have been handed down are Bratunac, Brčko, Ključ-Rejzović and Bosanska Krupa II.

236 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 34.

237 Izveštaj na osnovu tačaka 1.4.1.10. i 1.4.3.5. Akcionog plana za Poglavlje 23 [Report in relation to sub-sections 1.4.1.10. and 1.4.3.5. of the Action Plan for Chapter 23] is available (in Serbian) on the
regard to all criminal complaints that have been filed with it since 2005, and thus enable assessment of whether or not all war crimes charges have been adequately investigated, the HLC has not been able to identify any other performance report published by a judicial institution responsible for handling war crimes.

The fourth activity is concerned with the implementation of all strategic documents relevant to war crimes prosecution (Action Plan for Chapter 23, National Strategy, Prosecutorial Strategy). As mentioned earlier, the latest Report on the Implementation of the Action Plan for Chapter 23 was published in July 2018, and the last (sixth) Report of the Working Body on the Implementation of the National Strategy was published on 19 June 2019, while there are no reports available on the implementation of the Prosecutorial Strategy.

The fifth and last activity envisages the engagement of representatives of the institutions responsible for handling war crimes in the mechanism for cooperation between civil society organisations and government bodies, through their occasional participation in the National Convention on the European Union (NCEU) conferences. According to the information available to the HLC, the last NCEU conference a member of an institution responsible for war crimes processing participated in took place on 1 November 2016.

To judge by the inadequate implementation so far of the above listed activities, keeping the public informed about domestic war crimes trials continues to be a serious challenge for the judicial authorities responsible for handling these crimes. As the National Strategy will expire in twelve months’ time, unless some meaningful steps are taken promptly, the stated goal of the National Strategy will remain unaccomplished.

---

238 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 34.
239 See the section of the report concerned with the obstacles faced by the HLC in compiling the Report on the Implementation of the National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes.
240 National Strategy for the Prosecution of War Crimes, p. 34.
241 „Neophodan veći uticaj i rad civilnog društva u ispunjavanju obaveza iz Poglavlja 23” [CSO should have greater influence on and work more towards fulfilling obligations under Chapter 23], news, 4 November 2016, available on the official website of the National Convention on the EU: http://eukonvent.org/neophodan-veci-uticaj-i-rad-civilnog-drustva-u-ispunjavanju-obaveza-iz-poglavlja-23/ [accessed on 6 December 2019].
Implementation of Activities

1. INCREASING EFFICENCY OF THE WAR CRIMES PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA BODIES

1.1. Investigations and Indictments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The OWCP will draft and adopt the Prosecutorial Strategy for Investigation and Prosecution of War Crimes.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The WCIS will prepare a database on mass crimes committed during the armed conflicts in former Yugoslavia.</td>
<td>Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The OWCP shall endeavour to register and take over all the war crimes cases still pending before the domestic courts of general jurisdiction.</td>
<td>Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With the aim of increasing working efficiency, the OWCP should undertake the following activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use the existing capacity in line with the prosecutorial strategy;</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Partly Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Apply, whenever appropriate, the legal institution of plea agreement referred to in Articles 313-320 of the CPC;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure full confidentiality of the investigation process;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Examine during the investigation whether the suspect holds any assets acquired through war crime and if yes, to submit to the court the relevant procedural request in that regard pursuant to the Law on Criminal Asset Recovery.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of deputy prosecutors and other staff of the OWCP will increase in line with the time frame envisaged in the Action Plan for Chapter 23.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continuing training on international humanitarian, international criminal law and investigative techniques will be provided to the present and newly employed / newly appointed staff and deputy public prosecutors in the OWCP, as well as appropriate training relating to the approach to victims and witnesses to avoid the risk of secondary victimisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The defining of specific measures to be undertaken for the purpose of improving the status and operations of the WCIS requires that the MoI prepares an analysis (report) on the legal and factual situation and needs of the WCIS within the MoI, aimed at determining the need for the Service reform.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the basis of the above analysis, the MoI will urgently undertake measures to ensure the optimal status and capacity of the WCIS.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of joint internal operating rules of the OWCP and the WCIS, at the initiative of the War Crime Prosecutor.</td>
<td>Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving cooperation between the OWCP and the WCIS through:</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Organisation of joint trainings;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishment of a joint strategic team to define guidelines and directions of acting in matters of common concern;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Formation of joint operational teams;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Periodic mutual organisation of round tables.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1.2. Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consistent application of Articles 10 and 10a of the Law on the Organisation and Jurisdiction of Government Authorities in War Crimes Proceedings, in respect of the period for which judges are assigned to the War Crimes Chamber. Determination of additional judges in cases in which there is a reasonable fear that due to the expiry of the term of the presiding judge instructed to the department for war crimes, the trial had to start over again.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The War Crimes Prosecutor should start negotiations with his counterparts in neighbouring states on the establishment of a regional database of war crimes case trials which would considerably contribute to harmonisation of jurisprudence.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice shall endeavour, on the proposal of the HJC, to provide adequate technical equipment for the courtrooms in which war crimes trials are held. Pursuant to Article 354 of the CPC, presidents of the Higher and Appellate Court in Belgrade will strive to ensure the maintenance of hearings in adequate courtrooms in other courts through cooperation with the HJC.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the initiative of the OWCP, Higher and Appellate Court in Belgrade, and in cooperation with the HJC, the SPC and the Judicial Academy, a system of training and additional education will be established for judges, prosecutors, assistants, deputies and police investigators in the fields of international criminal and international humanitarian law.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. PROTECTION OF WITNESSES AND VICTIMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intersectoral working group of the Ministry of Justice will prepare an analysis of court practice in the implementation of Article 102 of the CPC as well as the analysis of the provisions and results of the implementation of the Law on the programme of protection of participants in criminal proceedings and formulate conclusions and recommendations on the needs of any amendment to this law or the accompanying bylaws in order to improve witness and victim protection system.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 - 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MoI will initiate and, in cooperation with the Commission for the Implementation of the Protection Programme for Participants in Criminal Proceedings, prepare the analysis of the status and needs of the Protection Unit.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the basis of the above analysis, the MoI will urgently undertake measures to ensure the optimal status and capacity of the Protection Unit.</td>
<td>Commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal law chambers consistently apply the provisions of the CPC regulating the sanctioning of participants in the procedure who violate procedural discipline, particularly if they attack the integrity of the witnesses or victims.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Implementation in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competent public prosecutors, the SPC and the competent bar association regularly notify the court about the measures undertaken with regard to the caution referred to in Article 374 of the CPC.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Implementation in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement of rules of procedure by the Commission for the Implementation of the Protection Programme and the Protection Unit fully respecting the interests of the criminal proceedings in which the protected person is placed under protective measures.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Improvement of cooperation between the Protection Unit and the OWCP, through:  
  - Organisation of joint trainings;  
  - Establishment of a joint strategic team to define guidelines and directions of acting in matters of common concern;  
  - Formation of joint operational teams;  
  - Periodic mutual organisation of round tables. | Continuous | Partly implemented |
### 3. SUPPORT TO VICTIMS AND WITNESSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice will perform an analysis of the level of harmonisation of the normative framework Directive 2012/29/EU.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Minister of Justice will establish a working group to propose amendments to the normative framework with the aim of harmonisation with the notion of victim in international human rights treaties, and of effective application of minimum standards on the rights, 14 Directive 2012/29/EU.</td>
<td>Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>No information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice will issue a bylaw regulating the mandatory provision of information to victims on all aspects of the criminal proceedings of interest to them in accordance with Article 6 of Directive 2012/29/EU.</td>
<td>Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice will create and distribute a brochure containing the information about victims’ rights (legal aid, psychological support, protection, etc.) in accordance with Article 4 of the Directive 2012/29/ EU.</td>
<td>Quarter 3 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Service for Assistance and Support to Victims and Witnesses within the Higher Court in Belgrade will hire an expert for the provision of psycho-social support.</td>
<td>Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Protection Unit of the MoI through the changes in job classification act will enable the engagement of professional staff for the provision of psycho-social support.</td>
<td>Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To meet the needs of the Service for Assistance and Support to Victims and Witnesses staff, the Higher Court in Belgrade and the Judicial Academy, with the support of the HJC and the Ministry of Justice will occasionally organise additional training and encourage the participation in professional conferences.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Implementation in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To meet the needs of the Protection Unit staff, the Training Centre of the MoI will occasionally organise additional training and encourage the participation in professional conferences.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement of infrastructural and technical capacity of the services for assistance and support to victims and witnesses.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Establishment of a countrywide network of services for assistance and support to victims and witnesses during the investigation and all stages of the criminal proceedings:  
• normative aspect (current normative framework, best comparative solutions, international standards);  
• financial assessment (sustainable financing, adequacy of premises and staff, need training needs);  
• access to support services (network coverage, distance, mobile support teams). | For the analysis – Quarter 1 of 2016; for the network establishment - continuous commencing from 2018 | Implemented |
| The Ministry of Justice will initiate a regional conference on the improvement of cooperation in the provision of support to victims and witnesses. | Continuous, commencing from Quarter 3 of 2016 | Not implemented |
### 4. DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing a programme of initial and continuous training in international humanitarian and international criminal law for the lawyers representing defendants in war crimes cases.</td>
<td>Quarter 3 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of the continuous training in the fields of international humanitarian and international criminal law for the lawyers representing defendants in war crimes cases.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The working group established by the Minister of Justice will prepare an analysis of the provisions and results of the application of the Rulebook on the Remuneration for (Court) Appointed Attorneys in war crimes cases.</td>
<td>Quarter 3 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5. WAR CRIMES TRIALS AND THE ISSUE OF MISSING PERSONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Republic of Serbia will continuously work on fulfilling the recommendations of the Committee on Enforced Disappearances and notify the Committee on achieved results.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Implementation in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform the analysis of the organisational structure and status of the support service with the aim of improving efficiency and sustainability in the context of volume and specificity of tasks within the Commission’s purview.</td>
<td>Quarter 3 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve mutual cooperation of the Commission on Missing Persons and other state bodies involved in the process of investigation and prosecution of war crimes, through periodically organised round tables.</td>
<td>Periodically (at least once per year)</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Foreign Affairs initiates the procedure for the signing and becoming party to the Agreement on the Establishment of the International Commission on Missing Persons (ICMP) granting the Commission the status of an international organisation.</td>
<td>Quarter 4 of 2016</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Government encourages the Commission on Missing Persons of the Republic of Serbia to establish a special cash fund for the support of competent state bodies in obtaining all available data on the location of gravesites of the persons still missing.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **COOPERATION WITH THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Fully access and examine the archive of the ICTY and the residual MICT, through appointed liaison officers.  
• Identify the materials and evidence of the International ICTY and the residual MICT relevant for the priority cases. | Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016 | Implementation in progress |
| The Republic of Serbia shall endeavour to maintain good practice of the transfer of knowledge and experience from the ICTY, to gain both general knowledge and specific knowledge about individual cases. | Continuous | Implementation in progress |
| The Republic of Serbia shall endeavour to maintain good practice of *ad hoc* presence of the adviser from the OWCP in the offices of the ICTY and the IRMCT. | Periodical | Implementation in progress |
### 7. REGIONAL AND BROADER INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

#### 7.1. Regional Cooperation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice will initiate a regional conference to achieve an intergovernmental agreement with the Republic of Croatia, B&amp;H, and Montenegro on the following open issues: 1) establishing regional rules on the division of jurisdiction for proceeding in war crimes cases; 2) enhancement of regional cooperation with regard to proceeding upon letters of request in war crimes cases; 3) setting up a facilitated procedure for obtaining evidence in the territory of another state by defence attorneys in war crimes cases; 4) uniform proceeding of states in the region with regard to determining the fate of missing persons.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2017</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice will establish a working group to prepare proposals of topics and normative issues for the Regional Conference.</td>
<td>Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The OWCP will initiate the continuation of the “Palić Process” with the presence of international observers, as well as regular quarterly meetings between regional prosecutors.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Implementation in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The OWCP will initiate the establishment of joint records of war crimes cases at the regional level the resolution of which commenced through regional cooperation.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 2 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The OWCP will promote the establishment of joint cross-border investigative prosecutorial teams with the countries of the region.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving cooperation between the judicial authorities of the Republic of Serbia and of the WCIS with the Provisional Institutions of Self-Government in Pristina.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.1. International Cooperation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active participation of judges and public prosecutors who handle war crimes cases in seminars on international humanitarian law and professional conferences.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Justice, in cooperation with the OWCP and HJC, will invest efforts to secure financial support of the project of translating domestic judgments into the English language, so that they can be included in the <em>Legal Tools Project</em>.</td>
<td>Continuous, commencing from Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 8. OUTREACH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time Limit</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased frequency of acting by presidents of the competent courts in accordance with Article 16a of the Law on the Organisation and Jurisdiction of Government Authorities in War Crimes Proceedings.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement of the web site of the High Court in Belgrade, where all the necessary information about the judgments in war crimes cases will be publicly available, with a gradual increase of the numbers of the actual decisions.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular publishing of substantive reports on the work of judicial institutions responsible for prosecuting war crimes.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular publishing of reports on the implementation of all relevant strategic documents in the field of prosecuting war crimes (the Action Plan for Chapter 23, the National Strategy, the Strategy of the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor).</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Delay in implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With the support of the Council for the implementation of the Action Plan for Chapter 23 and the Negotiating Group for Chapter 23, the inclusion of representatives of the institutions responsible for the prosecution of war crimes in the mechanism of cooperation with civil society organisations through their participation in occasional meetings with the National Convent of the EU.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodical organisation of courses, workshops and trainings for journalists reporting on war crimes trials, in cooperation with media organisations, judicial institutions and international organisations.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The quality and content of the curriculum that tackles issues related to the history of the conflict in the former Yugoslavia and the crimes that were committed during those conflicts, is continuously monitored and upgraded.

Publishing of the National Strategy text on the website of the Government of the Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Justice, the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor, the Higher Court and Court of Appeal in Belgrade.

Following the adoption of this National Strategy, the highest State officials, led by the Prime Minister and the Minister of Justice, will publicly declare their support for the work of all domestic bodies dealing with the war crimes issues, for the fight against impunity and for the respect for the rule of law.

Members of the Government of the Republic of Serbia and MPs, in accordance with the provisions of the newly adopted Code of Conduct for members of the Government and MPs, refrain from unauthorized commentaries of the work of the judiciary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The quality and content of the curriculum that tackles issues related to the history of the conflict in the former Yugoslavia and the crimes that were committed during those conflicts, is continuously monitored and upgraded.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publishing of the National Strategy text on the website of the Government of the Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Justice, the Office of War Crimes Prosecutor, the Higher Court and Court of Appeal in Belgrade.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Partly implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following the adoption of this National Strategy, the highest State officials, led by the Prime Minister and the Minister of Justice, will publicly declare their support for the work of all domestic bodies dealing with the war crimes issues, for the fight against impunity and for the respect for the rule of law.</td>
<td>Quarter 1 of 2016</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of the Government of the Republic of Serbia and MPs, in accordance with the provisions of the newly adopted Code of Conduct for members of the Government and MPs, refrain from unauthorized commentaries of the work of the judiciary.</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>